


In re: Michael John O'Loughlin et al.  
Serial No.: 10/790,406  
Filed: March 1, 2004  
Page 4 of 4

### REMARKS

In response to the Restriction Requirement of March 14, 2006, Applicants hereby elect Claims 21-28 corresponding to Invention II, drawn to a product. Applicants have canceled Claims 1-20 corresponding to Invention I, drawn to a process of making the product. This cancellation is being made without prejudice to the filing of a divisional application for these claims and/or other claims. Applicants are not traversing the restriction requirement because Applicants agree that unpatentability of Invention I would not necessarily imply unpatentability of Invention II.

In view of the above, Applicants respectfully request favorable examination and allowance of Claims 21-28.

Respectfully submitted,



David C. Hall

Registration No. 38,904

**USPTO Customer No. 20792**

Myers Bigel Sibley & Sajovec, P.A.  
P. O. Box 37428  
Raleigh, North Carolina 27627  
Telephone: (919) 854-1400  
Facsimile: (919) 854-1401

**Certificate of Mailing under 37 CFR 1.8**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on April 13, 2006.



Traci A. Brown